

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 2000 LB 175

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...speak on behalf of disadvantaged groups and individuals. I've heard him speak as an individual and as a member of his church, and now, all of a sudden, because he is on this bill, he is not sure why some things are done or whether it would be appropriate to undo them. I don't think the deposit should be required on these dogs. When you talk about liability, that is an issue that would have to be determined in court if the landlord is going to try to get it. But if you have one of these disadvantaged persons, and the landlord is going to take the deposit, how likely is it that this person is going to be able to get a lawyer to try to get it back when a lawyer works for money? I don't think they should have to pay the deposit, so I'm opposed to merely striking all of Section 1 because it does not strike the deposit. It strikes an increase...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...in it. Thank you.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator Chambers, there are no further lights. You are recognized to...I am sorry, Senator Hilgert.

SENATOR HILGERT: Senator Chambers, if you would yield to a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR HILGERT: I didn't mean to be flippant or nonresponsive to the extent that you probably would wish, but part of me is thinking that you are going through an exercise here that will be time consuming, that your intention is to take this to cloture, if need be. You've expressed that commitment. But if you can indicate, will you support this bill if we do away with the...all pet deposits, regarding that and, in other words, not just one-fourth but zero?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hilgert, if you will amend this bill in the way that I think it ought to be amended, do away with some of those that Senator Quandahl is trying to do, give a